Glaucoma in children with facial port wine stain

By:

Prof. dr. Nader Hussein Lotfy Bayoumi, M.D., FRCS (Glasgow) Dr. Eman Nabil Elsayed, MD, FRCS (Glasgow) Alexandria University

Disclosure:

The authors have no financial interest in any of the material stated in the study.

Introduction:

- Facial port-wine stain (PWS) is a manifestation of Sturge-Weber Syndrome (SWS), one of the neurocutaneous syndromes.
- Glaucoma is the most common ophthalmic association with SWS, incidence 30% -70%.
- Mechanism:
- 1. Goniodysgenesis.
- 2. Elevated episcleral venous pressure.
- 3. Premature aging of the trabecular meshwork-Schlemm's canal complex.
- 4. Aqueous hypersecretion by the ciliary processes.

Aim o<mark>f stud</mark>y:

- Report the **incidence** and **clinical presentation** of glaucoma in children presenting with facial PWS.
- Report the rate of conversion to glaucoma among children with facial PWS initially diagnosed as <u>not glaucomatous or</u> <u>suspects.</u>
- Report the results of the surgical treatment of glaucoma associated with facial PWS.

Subjects and methods:

- <u>Type:</u> Retrospective chart review.
- Children with facial PWS referred to the pediatric ophthalmology practice of the Ophthalmology Department, Alexandria Main University Hospital, from December 2005 to December 2016.
- <u>Records reviewed for:</u>
- 1. Patient demographics.
- 2. Results of clinical ophthalmic examination and systemic examination findings on first presentation of the child.
- 3. Results of examination at the final follow up visit.

Subjects and methods:

- Clinical stratification of study children eyes was done on initial presentation and at the final visit into 'glaucoma', 'glaucoma suspects' and 'no glaucoma'.
- GLAUCOMA = 2 or more criteria of an IOP above 15 mmHg under GA and/or a cup/disc ratio above 0.3 and/or corneal pathology diagnostic of elevated IOP (oedema, Haab's stria, broad limbus, enlarged diameter).
- GLAUCOMA SUSPECT = Only one of these features.
- NO GLAUCOMA = Absence of all these features.
- Most importantly, progression over time = "glaucoma", and a stationery condition = "glaucoma suspect" or "no glaucoma".

Subjects and methods:

- All glaucomatous eyes were operated by <u>combined</u> <u>trabeculotomy-trabeculectomy ith mitomycin C</u> and a <u>prophylactic inferior sclerotomy</u> was performed at the time of surgery.
- Success of surgery was defined as an IOP below 15 mmHg with absence of any vision threatening complications.
- <u>Qualified success</u>: successful IOP control with the need of IOP-lowering therapy.
- <u>Complete success</u>: successful IOP control without the need of IOP-lowering therapy.

Results: (44 eyes of 22 children)

| Demographic criteria | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| 1. Gender | | | |
| • Males | 10 (45%) | | |
| Females | 12 (55%) | | |
| 2. Age at presentation (mean±SD, range, Median) in months | 18.2±33.9, <u>1.8 – 120.5</u> , 4.0 | | |
| 3. Parental consanguinity | 6 (27%) | | |
| 4. Term at delivery | | | |
| • Full term | 21 (95%) | | |
| • preterm | 1 (5%) | | |
| 5. NICU admission | 7 (32%) | | |

| Clinical criteria | | | |
|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| 1. Port wine stain | | | |
| One division of CN V | 7 (32%) | | |
| More than one division | 15 (68%) | | |
| Involving trunk and extremities | 5 (23%) | | |
| 2. Leptomeningeal angioma | 1 (5%) | | |
| 3. Convulsions | 5 (23%) | | |
| 4. Hazy cornea at presentation | 2 (9%) | | |
| Follow up (mean±SD, range, Median) in months | 16.1±24.8, 0.0 – 89.8, 3.1 | | |



| Clinical characteristics at initial visit | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|--|--|
| | Glaucoma eyes (7 (16%)) | Glaucoma suspects (15 (34%)) | No glaucoma (22 (50%)) | |
| IOP (mean±SD, range, Median) (mmHg) | 16±6.2, 6 – 30, 16 | 11.4±7.3, 4 – 30, 11.0 | 8.2±2.6, 4 – 12, 8.0 | |
| Corneal Diameter (mean±SD, range, Median) (mm) | 12.0±0.7, 10.5 – 13, 12 | 11.4±0.9, 10.0 – 13.0, 11.5 | 11.1±0.4, 10.5 – 12, 11 | |
| Cup/disc ratio(mean±SD, range, Median) | 0.5±0.3, 0.1 – 0.9, 0.5 | 0.3±0.3, 0.0 – 0.9, 0.3 | 0.1±0.1, 0.0 – 0.5, 0.1 | |
| Axial length (mean±SD, range, Median) (mm) | 21.4±1.6, 19.3 – 25.3, 21.0 | 21.0±2.9, 17.9 – 25.3, 21.0 | <mark>20.6±1.6</mark> , 18.4 – 23.1, 20.7 | |



| Clinical characteristics at final visit | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------|---|--|
| | Glaucoma eyes (15 (34%)) | Glaucoma suspects (13(30%)) | No glaucoma (16 (36%)) | |
| IOP (mean±SD, range, Median) (mmHg) | 20.6±5.1, 15 – 32, 18.0 | 13.6±5.4, 2 – 24, 12.0 | 7.5±1.7, 4 – 10, 8.0 | |
| Corneal Diameter (mean±SD, range, Median) (mm) | 13.0±0.9, 11.0 - 14.0, 13.0 | 12.0±0.8, 10.5 – 13.0, 12.0 | 11.3±0.6, 10 – 12, 11.0 | |
| Cup/disc ratio (mean±SD, range, Median) | 0.7±0.2, 0.4 – 1.0, 0.8 | 0.5±0.3, 0.0 – 0.9, 0.4 | 0.1±0.1, 0.0 – 0.3, 0.1 | |
| Axial length (mean±SD, range, Median) (mm) | 23.3±1.8, 19.1 – 25.7, 23.9 | 22.0±1.7, 19.1 – 24.8, 22.5 | 21.0±1.0, 18.7 – 22.7, 21.1 | |

| Clinical stratification of study eyes | | | |
|---|----------|--|--|
| Eyes initial visit no glaucoma converted to glaucoma in last visit (n,%) | 1 (2.3) | | |
| Eyes initial visit no glaucoma converted to glaucoma suspect in last visit (n,%) | 6 (13.6) | | |
| Eyes initial visit no glaucoma remained no glaucoma in last visit (n,%) | 15 (34) | | |
| Eyes initial visit glaucoma suspect converted to glaucoma in last visit (n,%) | 7 (16) | | |
| Eyes initial visit glaucoma suspect converted to no glaucoma in last visit (n,%) | 1 (2.3) | | |
| Eyes initial visit glaucoma suspect remained glaucoma suspect in last visit (n,%) | 7 (16) | | |
| Eyes initial visit glaucoma confirmed glaucoma in last visit (n,%) | 7 (16) | | |

| Clinical Examination Data of operated eyes (11) | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Mean (SD, range, median) | Preop. | 1m | 3m | 6m | 9m | 12m |
| IOP (mmHg) | 18.2 (4.8, 12 – 26, | 8.0* (2.4, 6 – | 6.3* (4.9, 3 – | 5.0 (3.6, 1 – 8, | 8.0* (4.0, 4 – | 9.0* (8.3, 4 – |
| | 16) | 12, 8) | 12, 4) | 6) | 12, 8) | 32, 6) |
| Corneal Diameter | 12.9 (0.9, 11 – 14, | 12.4 (0.4, 12 – | 11.8 (0.3, | 12.5 (0.0, 12.5 | 12.7 (0.3, 12.5 | 12.7 (0.4, 12 |
| (mm) | 13) | 13, 12.5) | 11.5 – 12, 12) | – 12.5, 12.5) | – 13.0, 12.5) | - 13.5, 12.5) |
| C/D ratio | 0.7 (0.2, 0.1 – 0.9, | 0.3 (0.3, 0 – | 0.4 (0.3, 0 – | 0.3 (0.3, 0 – | 0.3 (0.4, 0 – | 0.4 (0.3, 0 – |
| | 0.8) | 0.8, 0.3) | 0.6, 0.6) | 0.6, 0.2) | 0.7, 0.3) | 0.9, 0.3) |
| AL (mm) | 22.6 (1.7, 19.5 – 24.2, 23.3) | 22.6 (1.5, 20.7 – 23.7, 23.6) | 21.6 (1.6, 19.2 – 23.5, 21.4) | 21.1 (1.4, 19.7 - 22.4, 21.2) | 23.6 (0.5, 23.1 - 24.1, 23.5) | 22.8 (1.9, 19.7 – 25.2, 23.1) |

- The success rate in the operated eyes was around 90%.
- IOP reduction in around 90% and reversal of optic nerve cupping in 73% of operated eyes.
- No intraoperative complications occurred in any of the operated eyes.
- Two eyes with a choroidal haemangioma developed an exudative choroidal detachment postoperatively.

Conclusion:

- 1. Glaucoma is a significant ocular hazard in children with facial PWS.
- 2. Glaucoma may not be evident on initial presentation of the child with facial PWS and may present late (rate of conversion 28%).
- 3. The presentation is usually with a clear cornea.
- 4. Surgical intervention is associated with a high success rate and a low rate of complications.

